

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,863	08/25/2000	Kiyonobu Kojima	SONY-U0060	- 5978	
22850 7590 06/16/2004 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655	1,-	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/648,863		KOJIMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael N. Opsas	snick	2655				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠	Pesponsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	Vugust 2000						
2a)□								
3)	<u>-</u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	on of Claims							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction require	ment					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approve	ed b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Lim et al</u> (6574599) in view of Tzirkel-Hancock (5960395) in further view of <u>Ortega et al (6182046)</u>.

As per claims 1,4,7 <u>Lim et al (6574599)</u> teaches a communication apparatus, method, and storage medium (col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 5) with word generating means for recognizing a voice and generating a first word and a second word, which correspond to said voice (as recognizing an action word and a regular word -- col. 4 lines 7-17, with the data entered in the call table);

"a word collating means.....first word" as collating the words into a call table (col. 4 lines 5-25; and Fig. 7)

"a program activating means.....predetermined word" as action word used to control an action from the menu (col. 4 lines 7-12; col. 16 lines 50-55)

ر تن

Application/Control Number: 09/648,863

Art Unit: 2655

"a communication destination setting means...activating means" as using the action word to specify a communication destination, for example, placing a call (col. 15 lines 23-60).

As per claims 1,4,7, <u>Lim et al (6574599)</u> does not explicitly teach status detecting means and determining to issue a command based on status, however, <u>Tzirkel-Hancock</u> (5960395) teaches status checks before processing the command (col. 38 line 61 – col. 39 line 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of command processing to incorporate into the teachings of Lim the word processing based on status because it would provide feedback to the user as to why the command may not make sense (Tzirkel Hancock, col. 39 lines 5-10).

As per claims 1,4,7, the combination of Lim et al (6574599) in view of Tzirkel-Hancock (5960395) does not explicitly teach monitoring if the predetermined program is active for the word command, however, Ortega et al (6182046) teaches the speech command capability being active upon notification of an active program (col. 3 lines 10-25 and lines 60-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech commands to modify the teachings of Lim et al (6574599) in view of Tzirkel-Hancock (5960395) with program activation monitoring associated with the command because it would advantageously provide pertinent information associated only with that particular application (Ortega, col. 3 line 64 – col. 4 line 15)

As per claims 2,3,5,6,8,9 <u>Lim et al (6574599)</u> in view of <u>Tzirkel-Hancock</u> (5960395) teaches the use of the command system in an email environment and associated protocols (<u>Lim et al (6574599)</u>, col. 12 lines 35-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/648,863

Art Unit: 2655

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in 3. view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

> Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to: (703) 872 9314, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377. Myay Blaw 6/13/2004

mno 6/13/2004

> VIJAY CHAWAN PRIMARY EXAMINER